

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| 09/821,605 | 03/29/2001 | Masayuki Takahashi | 55,731 (70904) | 5076 |
| 7 | 7590 02/05/2003 | | | |
| Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman LLP 130 Water Street Boston, MA 02109 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | HARRINGTON, ALICIA M | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2873 | |

DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

MAR -5 2003



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/821,605 | 03/29/2001 | Masayuki Takahashi | 55,731 (70904) | 5076 |
| 75 | 90 02/05/2003 | | | |
| Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman LLP | | | EXAMINER HARRINGTON, ALICIA M | |
| 130 Water Street Boston, MA 02109 | | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2873 | |

DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/821,605 | TAKAHASHI ET AL. | | | |
| . Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| • | Alicia M Harrington | 2873 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J | uly 2002 . | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i> Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 6-15</u> is/are pending in the a | pplication. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | n from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6-15</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement, | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep | ted or b)⊡ objected to by t he Exa r | niner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | ` ' | | | |
| 11) ⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>7/39€</u> | īs: a) ⊠ approved b)⊡ disappro | ved by the Examiner. | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a |)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received in Application | on No | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | _ | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e | e) (to a provisional application). | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal F | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/821,605

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

The indicated allowability subject matter in previous claims 5-7 which is now incorporated into independent claims 1 and 11 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Fowler (US 6,459,078). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,2,4,6-9, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Potts et al (US 5,332,893) in view of Fowler (6,459,078).

Regarding claims 1 and 11, Potts discloses a two-dimensional image sensor (see figure 2) with a readout circuit comprising (see figure 3 and col. 6, lines 14-46) a charge sensitive amplifier (51), a low pass filter (53), a voltage amplifier (55) where the voltage amplifier follows the low pass filter. However, Potts fails to specifically disclose the low pass filter and voltage amplifier share a common element. Although, it is well known in the prior art, as taught by Fowler.

Fowler discloses a readout circuit where the readout circuit in figures 2-3 have a low pass filter and amplifier where they share parallel capacitors and switches (col. 4, lines 44-54). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Potts, as taught by Fowler, since prior art clearly teaches common utilization of parts.

Regarding claim 2, Potts and Fowler disclose a low pass filter and voltage amplifier that shares a common circuit and a time constant corresponds to the time rate of change of the device that depends upon the input. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that as the amplification voltage raises the time constant increases.

Regarding claim 4, Potts discloses where voltage amplifier circuit includes an operation amplifier (72) having an inverted input terminal to which the capacitor and low pass filter circuit includes the resistor and the capacitor is connected in series with the resistor.

Regarding claim 6, Fowler discloses the sequential (see col. 4, lines 15-20) switching of switches 45 and 49 to control the capacitors. The amplification factor of the circuit is determined based on the amplifier configuration. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made the amplification of the signal can be 1 since the amplification of a signal depends on the circuit configuration which is within routine skill in the art.

Regarding claim 7, Fowler discloses the sequential (see col. 4, lines 15-20) switching of switches 45 and 49 to control the capacitors.

Regarding claim 8, Potts discloses the voltage amplifier where the feedback capacitor (76) is provided between the inverted input terminal and the output terminal.

Regarding claim 9, Potts discloses a reset switch (78).

Fowler since it provides it is a known photoelectric conversion circuit configuration as early as 1986 and Andos' circuit suppresses blooming.

Regarding claims 14-15, Potts discloses the data lines, which sends charges to the readout circuit (see figure 2). However, Potts and Fowler fail to specifically disclose the claimed circuit configuration implemented to hold the charge while the charge detection reads the charge for the photoelectric converter. However, Ando discloses a solid state imager where photodiodes are used to collect charges where the photodiode retains the charge until the vertical switch is activated (see constitution and figures 1 and 3) by vertical register/scanner (6) to allow charge to read to the detection circuit for amplifying, sampling and holding, low pass filtering and amplifying again to create an output image signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Potts and Fowler, since it is a known photoelectric conversion circuit configuration as early as 1986 and Andos' circuit suppresses blooming.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia M Harrington whose telephone number is 703 308 9295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 703 308 4883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308 7724 for regular communications and 703 308 7724 for After Final communications.

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. O9/821,605 Examiner Alicia M Harrington Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination TAKAHASHI ET AL. Art Unit Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

| * | | Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code | Date MM-YYYY | Name | Classification |
|---|---|--|-----------------|--------|--------------------|
| | Α | US-6,459,078 | 10-2002 | Fowler | 250/2 1 4 A |
| | В | US- | | | |
| | С | US- | | | |
| | ם | US- | | | |
| | Е | US- | | | |
| | F | US- | | | |
| | G | US- | | | |
| | Н | US- | | | |
| | I | US- | | | |
| | J | US- | | | |
| | к | US- | | | |
| | L | US- | | | |
| | М | US- | | | |

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

| * | | Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code | Date MM-YYYY | Country | Name | Classification |
|---|---|--|-----------------|---------|------|----------------|
| | N | | | | | |
| | 0 | | | | | |
| | P | | | | | |
| | Q | | | | | |
| | R | | | | | |
| | S | | | | | |
| | Т | | | | | |

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

| * | | Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages) |
|---|----|---|
| | U | |
| | V | |
| | w | |
| | ·x | |

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Organization TC 2500 Bldg./RoomCp4U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20231
IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNI

